



Jessica Gienow-Hecht and Steffen Just  
**Music and Human Rights Since World War II**

SCRIPTS Working Paper No. 39

## CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE “CONTESTATIONS OF THE LIBERAL SCRIPT (SCRIPTS)”

SCRIPTS analyzes the contemporary controversies about liberal order from a historical, global, and comparative perspective. It connects academic expertise in the social sciences and area studies, collaborates with research institutions in all world regions, and maintains cooperative ties with major political, cultural, and social institutions. Operating since 2019 and funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG), the SCRIPTS Cluster of Excellence unites eight major Berlin-based research institutions: Freie Universität Berlin, the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, the Berlin Social Science Center (WZB), as well as the Hertie School, the German Institute for Economic Research (DIW), the Berlin branch of the German Institute of Global and Area Studies (GIGA), the Centre for East European and International Studies (ZOiS), and the Leibniz-Zentrum Moderner Orient (ZMO).

## SCRIPTS WORKING PAPER SERIES

The SCRIPTS Working Paper Series serves to disseminate the research results of work in progress prior to publication to encourage the exchange of ideas, enrich the discussion and generate further feedback. All SCRIPTS Working Papers are available on the SCRIPTS website at [www.scripts-berlin.eu](http://www.scripts-berlin.eu) and can be ordered in print via email to [office@scripts-berlin.eu](mailto:office@scripts-berlin.eu).

Series-Editing and Production: Dr. Anke Draude, Mia Begović, and Carol Switzer

Please cite this issue as: Gienow-Hecht, Jessica / Just, Steffen 2024: Music and Human Rights Since World War II, SCRIPTS Working Paper No. 39, Berlin: Cluster of Excellence 2055 “Contestations of the Liberal Script (SCRIPTS)”.

Cluster of Excellence  
“Contestations of the Liberal Script (SCRIPTS)”  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Edwin-Redslob-Straße 29  
14195 Berlin  
Germany

+49 30 838 58502  
[office@scripts-berlin.eu](mailto:office@scripts-berlin.eu)

[www.scripts-berlin.eu](http://www.scripts-berlin.eu)  
Twitter: [@scriptsberlin](https://twitter.com/scriptsberlin)



## CONTENTS

Authors

Abstract

1	Celebrating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights with music	3
2	The sounds of human rights	4
3	Music, power, and politics	5
4	Music and human rights in historiography	9
5	Methodology: “actors and stages” – “aesthetics and music”	11
5.1	Actors and stages	12
5.2	Aesthetics and music	14
6	Da capo: the Universal Declaration of Human Rights concerts	19

References

## AUTHORS



**Jessica Gienow-Hecht** is chair of the Department of History at the John F. Kennedy Institute of North American Studies at Freie Universität Berlin. Her expertise is the interplay of international relations and culture, notably music. A Principal Investigator at SCRIPTS since 2018, she is involved with a variety of individual projects, above all, “Gender, Borders, Memory” alongside Gülay Çağlar. She is the author of 12 SCRIPTS blogs on topics ranging from K-Pop to US foreign relations and has just published “Visions of Humanity: Historical and Cultural Practises since 1850” (Berghahn Books, 2023) with Sönke Kunkel and Sebastian Jobs. Her particular research interest at the Cluster centres on the extent to which liberal and illiberal regimes historically and actually project and compare themselves to their opponents: Is there an (il)liberal script for place and nation branding?

[j.gienow@fu-berlin.de](mailto:j.gienow@fu-berlin.de)



**Steffen Just** is a postdoc researcher at the Department of Musicology / Sound Studies at Bonn University, contributing to a DFG project focused on the history of sound concepts in modernity. His research and publications cover a range of issues in aesthetics, culture, society, and history through perspectives from sound, music, media, and cultural studies, as well as digital humanities. In 2019, he served as a research fellow for SCRIPTS, collaborating on a project on the global history of music and human rights with Professor Dr Jessica Gienow-Hecht at Freie Universität Berlin.

[sjust@uni-bonn.de](mailto:sjust@uni-bonn.de)

# Music and Human Rights Since World War II

Jessica Gienow-Hecht and Steffen Just

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the intersections of music and human rights since World War II on a global level. It presents ideas and suggests possible pathways to study the articulation of human rights politics through sound and musical practices. While historians have investigated human rights through various perspectives pertaining to aspects of law, society, and cultural politics, the manifestation and expression of human rights issues in sound aesthetics are still waiting to be discovered. Musicologists and sound researchers, on the other hand, have closely studied the symbolic and affective use of music and sound by social movements, cultural institutions, liberal governments, authoritarian regimes, and individual activists, but the theme of human rights has only surfaced explicitly in a handful of publications. This paper, therefore, suggests that an interdisciplinary analysis, which combines theories and methods from historiography, music, and sound scholarship, makes it possible to address global human rights history in novel ways.

## 1 CELEBRATING THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS WITH MUSIC<sup>1,2</sup>

On 10 December 1949, the United Nations (UN) organised a concert dedicated to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) that had been adopted the year prior under the title “Resolution 217” by the General Assembly at the Palais

1 Note: Musical examples provided in the text can be activated by clicking on the respective link.

2 Many thanks to Ian Giacondo for commenting on and proof-reading this paper. A hearty note of thanks for reading earlier drafts to Rebekah Ahrendt (U. Utrecht, NL), Gertud Pickhan (FU Berlin, GER), Rashida Braggs (Williams College, USA), Esteban Buch (EHESS, Paris, FR), Nicholas Cull (USC, USA), Ernesto Donas (U. República, Montevideo, UY), Anaïs Fléchet (U. Versailles, FR), Danielle Fosler-Lussier (Ohio State U, Columbus), Philippe Gumpłowicz (U. Évry-Val d’Essonne-Paris Saclay, FR), James Loeffler (U. Virginia, USA), Samuel Moyn (Yale U., USA), Marcos Napolitano (U. São Paulo, BR), Violeta Nigrita de Gunta (Paris EHESS, FR / U. National de Quilmes, ARG), Ronald Radano (U. Wisconsin, USA), Anne Shreffler (Harvard U., USA), Tanja Börzel & Michael Zürn (SCRIPTS FU Berlin, GER). Thank you to the SCRIPTS Cluster of Excellence for funding this paper.

de Chaillot in Paris. Designed to celebrate the first anniversary of the UDHR, the event was a splendid affair, held in New York’s Carnegie Hall and staffed by a *melée* of international celebrities straight out of a Who’s Who in film, music, and politics. Leonard Bernstein directed the Boston Symphony playing Dmitri Shostakovich’s “March of the Nations” and Ludwig van Beethoven’s “Ode to Joy”. The former president’s wife and then United States (US) delegate to the UN, Eleanor Roosevelt and the UN General Secretary, Trygve Lie, appeared on stage hailing the Declaration, as did the renowned British actor Sir Lawrence Olivier, who read the preamble of the Declaration, arriving fresh from a production of Jean Anouilh’s *Antigone* at the New Theatre in London.

The event was broadcast internationally, and it was, by all accounts, a splendid success. Most importantly, it was not a one-time gig. For the next 32 years, the UN would mount a concert in honour of the UDHR nearly every year. And almost every single year, the protocol section managed to invite high-level celebrities to read, sing, and perform the UDHR: conductors such as Stanislaw Skrowaczewski and the controversial Wilhelm Furtwängler, actors such as Gregory Peck and Lauren Bacall, orchestras such as the Vienna Philharmonic.

About a decade into this newly crafted tradition, the set-up of the concerts changed dramatically. In 1961, for the first time, the all-classical canon was abandoned in favour of a contribution inspired by South Asia. The programme that day featured an “Introduction to Indian Dances” performed in the General Assembly, which is not exactly a concert hall. In 1962, a group of Nigerian

dancers took to the stage, as did pianist Jose Iturbi, hailing from Spain under dictator Franco. In 1963, the programme featured “The African Scene: Five Songs and Dances”, performed by Ivan Anan and Franz Tagoe with Rashida Abu-Bakr, Edith Grootboor, Hamza-Al-Deen, Francis Nyarko Cann, and Eva Kiritta. In 1964, The “Frats Quintet” performed “Folk Songs of Jamaica”.

This diversification went on for more than a decade into the 1970s. And while the available records do not indicate any one specific reasoning, it is highly plausible that the recorded transformation took place due to the intervention of actors and participants outside of Western liberal states – within and outside of the assembly. The papers of the protocol section of the UN archives in New York City do not yield any information on the motivation behind the shift. However, the files of the UN Commission on Human Rights (UNCHR) and the UN Human Rights Council in New York, Paris, and Geneva appear to be more vocal on this point.

More importantly, the story does not end there. In the late 1970s, this diversity subsided, and in the early 1980s, UDHR programmes vanished altogether. There were attempts to resuscitate the venture in the 1990s, but these efforts were, for the most part, “classical”, very much along the lines of what these concerts once had been in the 1940s and 1950s. In 1998, Luciano Pavarotti sang his heart out at the 50th anniversary of the UDHR. Since 2008, Daniel Barenboim has twice sought to mount UDHR anniversary concerts at the UN in Geneva (Gienow-Hecht 2023a).

How do we make sense of this story in the context of human rights, music, and international relations? What does it mean that programmes and actors changed so radically and overnight, back and forth, over the course of more than thirty years? This essay aims to outline a framework for a more in-depth examination of the sounds of

human rights, both targeted at this specific case study as well as for the study of sound and rights in general. More specifically, we suggest an avenue of research to expand the focus from verbal to nonverbal and from the sociopolitical to the aesthetic dimension of human rights activism.

## 2 THE SOUNDS OF HUMAN RIGHTS

On a general level, the story outlined in the UDHR case raises the question of what human rights may sound like. Is there a sound of human rights? To what extent can we reconstruct its history through music? Do sounds have something to tell us about sociopolitical momenta, orders, and developments? Can we “hear” human rights history, political and otherwise? Curiously, both social scientists and scholars from the field of music have been notoriously reluctant to address these questions in tandem. Indeed, not much seems to have changed since Jeffrey Jackson and Stanley Pelkey opened their 2005 collection, *Music and History: Bridging the Disciplines*, asking, “Why haven’t historians and musicologists been talking to one another?” At the time, the two authors offered a simple diagnosis: a problem of communication, notably in regard to specific methods, knowledge, and skills prevalent in both disciplines. Historians, for instance, seemed to believe that one needed to be able to read, play or even like music to make sense of it historically.

Taking Jackson and Pelkey as a point of departure, this paper seeks to formulate answers to the general questions that emerge from our examination of the UDHR case, considering the trans-disciplinary collaboration between musical and historical scholarship. The aim is to locate novel epistemological and methodological avenues by inviting research on music into an intimate dialogue with history by looking at the history of human rights, an exceptionally polysemic concept and, ideally, one that has been highly influential

since the Second World War around the entire globe. Thus, human rights may serve as a topic from which to tackle these general questions through a more specific focus: why, where, how, and by whom were issues of human rights transregionally articulated, distributed, and challenged through musical means and sound across genres and regions over the course of the second half of the twentieth century? The paper builds on the central hypothesis that music, regardless of genre, is an active force that not only reflects but shapes sociopolitical structures. It suggests that the history of human rights can be examined through a combination of different epistemological frameworks and methods from history, musicology, and sound studies, taking the interdependencies between sociopolitical structures and music seriously. To this end, this essay proposes a transdisciplinary framework that includes archival research, oral history interviews, and music/sound analysis. This constellation of different epistemologies and methods accounts for the multifaceted and entangled history of music and human rights, transregionally and across diverse genres.

The essay thus highlights the need to reconstruct political history through music and crafts a roadmap of how to do this. The first section shows that historians still largely underestimate and neglect music's role in the history of politics and, accordingly, human rights. Research perspectives from musicology and sound studies can help ignite innovative research agendas and address things we otherwise do not see. The second section of the paper presents two "themes" which we deem fruitful for studying the global history of music and human rights. The first, "Stages and Actors", reflects on how to investigate places and people historically involved in the musical articulations of human rights through archival research. The second, "Aesthetics and Sound", introduces and elucidates methods for music analysis and applies them to human rights research.

Part three seeks to make sense of the UDHR case study based on the two proposed approaches. It shows how a combined analysis of stages and actors on the one hand and sound studies on the other highlights the complex negotiation process surrounding the definition and meaning of human rights – and the even more salient question of who will be in charge of shaping that definition. Put differently, the case of the UDHR concerts reveals that the proposed theoretical frameworks effectively serve as tools for investigating the political dimensions and potentials that are inscribed in or are connected to musical sounds. On a meta-level, studying political history through music and sound can initiate an innovative shift in epistemologies, introduce a new toolkit of methodological resources, and also engender unique ways to understand the inner workings of political power (see Kennerley 2021). Political power seen through this lens is exercised not only through governments, organisations, institutions, and human actors in general but also in sound insofar as music pertains to symbolic orders, feelings, affects, structures of subjectivity, shared (communal) social experiences, and interpersonal relationships.

### 3 MUSIC, POWER, AND POLITICS

Music has played a powerful and formative role in the history of human rights, yet it is often overlooked. Frequently cited in manifestations for the freedom of the arts, Article 22 of the United Nation's Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in 1948, stipulated radically that every human being was entitled to "cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality". The reference to culture can be seen to include music. In the following decades, from the global circulation of classical music to British rock, US jazz and blues to Brazilian *musica tropicalismo* to South African *Mbaqanga*, music became attached to the production and distribution

mechanisms of the global entertainment industry (Onyebadi 2022). As such, it provided an influential cultural platform for the post-war world to articulate political vision in the name of human rights but also the exact opposite: a tool of suppression, torture, and extinction.

Since 1948, artists the world over have used music's appeal and "universalism" to lobby for justice, freedom, and human rights. Examples are heterogenous, ranging from Argentinian-Israeli conductor Daniel Barenboim and Palestine-US American scholar Edward Saïd (Barenboim/Saïd 2003), founders of the West-Eastern Divan Orchestra in 1999, through the Beninese-French singer Angélique Kidjo to US-American jazz performer Alicia Keys (cf. Dave 2015: 2ff.). In the realm of classical music, for example, the year of the UN Declaration of Human Rights, Luigi Dallapiccola published "[Il Prigioniero](#)" (The Prisoner, 1948), a one-act opera that draws on ideas of torture and hope in a world that knows no justice. In 1960, Luigi Nono made a direct claim on the human rights situation with "Intolleranza" while Mikis Theodorakis composed "[Canto General](#)" (based on Pablo Neruda's poem) after he had been a victim of Greek state repression. In popular music, the list most notably comprises a series of transnational Amnesty International pop concerts in the 1980s, along with hundreds of soul, rock, folk, and hip-hop artists, notably in the Global South.

At the same time, music morphed into a tool of suppression, employed for manipulation, censorship, torture, and murder: the silencing of composers such as Sofia Gubaidolina in the Soviet Union, the kidnapping of musicians, such as Miguel Estrella, in Latin American dictatorships, the systematic use of music as torture under illiberal and liberal regimes, including in US detention centres such as Abu Ghraib, the systematic exclusion of minority music from national media such as the *Arabesk* genre in 1970s Turkey, and the murder of musical artists during the *Khmer*

*Rouge* regime: 90 per cent of all Cambodian pop stars forever vanished between 1975 and 1979. Throughout the world, music has been a cultural practice and a place to promote and contest visions of humanity and human rights, and a cultural power to reinforce the exclusion and oppression of minorities, making the inequalities within political systems or nation-states palpable (Kunzel et al. 2023).

This paper takes what may seem to social scientists and historians as a curious, even unorthodox, approach to grasping this complexity. Rather than focusing on the lens of politics, economics, or the law, it seeks to employ a perspective of audio-based articulations for and against human rights, as they have been fashioned and understood as forms of "music". Working from the position that aesthetics, society, and politics are inseparable and focusing on the sounds, actors, and the context of musical performances and media cultures, this essay investigates how music worked as a sonic promotion of human rights visions and issues but also as a venue challenging those very demands. Specifically, it asks: why have musical productions since 1945 championed human rights? How were human rights and their contestations articulated via music and musical practices across regions and genres? Where and how were such musical expressions staged, distributed, and publicised? To what extent do musical sounds bear semiotic as well as affective potentials that serve as a generator for historical articulations and manifestations of political power? To reach a more thorough examination of music's entanglement with power – biopolitical, emotional, psychological – the essay seeks to figure out a roadmap for how to properly theorise the acoustic dimension of human rights through the lens of power by way of some recent strands of sono-political theory (James 2019). By way of a hypothesis, the authors hold that music has entered the arena of a globalised world, offering people an emotional, transregional, and



crossgenre forum to imagine, promote, but also contest human rights outside the parameters of official politics, economics, and the law and, thus, endow it with new meaning. As a result, the concept of human rights as such has morphed into something very different and difficult to grasp by common language-based definition or discourse analysis. Put differently, human rights, this paper argues, must be fundamentally rethought in terms of its sonic articulations.

In this context, music is not merely a passive reflection of social, cultural, and political power relations but an active force shaping sociopolitical structures. Music and sound studies scholars have argued that the study of music in the context of political history can show us something that we otherwise would not see. Music constitutes a sensation of foreboding change (Attali 1985) – it makes advocacy, protest, exclusion, and suppression tangible by evoking feelings. Historians can retrace this phenomenon by examining written, oral, and audio sources that focus on either creating or reflecting on such moments of transformation, denial, and dissent. In this context, the term “music” refers to musical pieces, sounds, and practices that are 1) thematically related to human rights, 2) censored, banned, or targeted in any other way by authoritarian, anti-human rights regimes, 3) promoted by these very regimes in the form of, for example, official state music but also sonic tortures, 4) composed for, or appropriated for, memory-related rituals and events in post-dictatorial, democratic societies, and finally 5) not intentionally and officially crafted or staged to envision or violate human rights, but do so implicitly, as they are charged with political meanings or power in a specific context or setting. The political nature of music, that is, may not always depend on human intention.

Here is where human rights come in: Any domain of music, no matter the form, content or context, can become intertwined with human rights issues.

As a result, historians and musicologists need to work with an epistemological and methodological framework capable of including different, indeed, all kinds of music regardless of genre or region. This framework opens up perspectives which account for the rich and multiple manifestations and articulations of human rights in music and render the history of music and human rights as an entangled one, paying attention to both regional musical development and contestations as well as transregional, intercontinental connections among actors, stages, productions, and aesthetics.

Thus, three premises inform the research agenda of music and human rights. First, after World War II, aligning music and human rights became common to aesthetic practice and discourse. In this, the musical experience did not simply reflect human rights advocacy but occasionally fuelled the implementation and popularisation of human rights initiatives and ideas. Throughout the last seventy years, musical venues and practices have inspired influential campaigns and movements within the global political arena, often by way of casual emotional attraction (“slacktivism”), such as in the case of the legendary [Amnesty International concerts](#) in the 1980s (Weinstein 1989). While these affective attractions, aesthetic visions, and artistic contextualisation of human rights did not always succeed in promoting human rights efficiently, they did yield a contentious plethora of often regionally and individually diverse musical imaginations, crafting a new language addressing human rights concerns.

Second, there is a good deal of debate about what exactly constitutes human rights. In this paper, human rights are understood to be a highly polysemic term, not merely a concept primarily coined by North American and Western European post-war liberal democracies. At the geopolitical level, the 1948 UDHR would have been impossible without the signatures of the Soviet Union (USSR) and

other Marxist-oriented states and social movements around the world. In Latin America, human rights organisations were rarely associated with liberalism because the dictatorships they fought were themselves identified as economically “liberal”. Progressive thinkers from China, Eastern Europe, and the Soviet Union developed their own brand of progressive thinking on the issue of human rights. In 1990, member states of the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation signed the Cairo Declaration of Human Rights in Islam, which built upon but, at the same time, crucially reformulated the central ideals of the 1948 UDHR in accordance with the Shariah. While critics of the notion of human rights are often portrayed as relativists or defenders of cultural singularities, there has been a very active leftist, universalist, progressive critique of human rights that denounced human rights as an ideological function of capitalist societies based on individualism (Lefort 1979; Invernizzi-Acetti 2016). Such diversity – indeed, cacophony – of voices is important to examine in the interplay of music and human rights.

Finally, and related to the first two premises, competing visions and formulations of human rights in the musical world continually modify and put into perspective the extent to which a musical work qualifies as relevant for the story of human rights. Dallapiccola’s “Il Prigioniero” is set in the Inquisition times and does not make any direct claim on the contemporary human rights situation, whereas Nono’s “Intolleranza” does precisely that, from a Communist perspective. With the same criteria, Beethoven’s “Fidelio”, among many other 19th-century operas, are today often considered as works on human rights. In the same vein, human rights in music are often conflated with the notion of civil rights and social protest at large, to the extent that the lines between them become blurry (Romero et al. 2023). The music of many hip-hop artists, such as [Queen Latifah](#), or [Public Enemy](#) deals with racial injustice and racist state or police violence – which places these

musicians squarely within the human rights discourse even though they do not always explicitly cite the term nor global debates. The evolving resignification according to the evolution of context is thus a basic hermeneutical problem typical for the study of history. The two themes outlined in the next section are attentive to the fact that human rights and their contestation can constitute an objective and a practice without the term being used.

In sum, since the end of World War II, music and human rights have become inextricably related, eventually crafting a new understanding of human rights. To this end, this paper presents a model to reconstruct an entangled history of music and human rights. This framework may help future scholarship to further investigate how music made the promotion and contestation of human rights tangible, show how communities the world over imagined but also infringed upon human rights as a collective experience, and, thus, pave new avenues to think about and study human rights, and more generally, music and politics at large. Furthermore, the dialogue between epistemologies and methodologies from history, sound studies, and musicology may point to new ways of thinking about politics, especially in terms of affect. Both historians and scholars of sound studies have recently highlighted the need to study affect (Thompson/Biddle 2013; Frevvert 2016; Garcia-Mispireta 2023) as a way to explore new horizons and opportunities for scholarship across disciplines worldwide. This essay does precisely that by combining transnational music research (Janz/Yang 2019; Corona/Madrid 2008; Gunner/Pennfold 2017; Levi 2018), emotional history (Plamper 2014; Rosenwein 2010), and the study of international history (Gienow-Hecht/Schumacher 2003) and human rights across musical genres and continents.

#### 4 MUSIC AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN HISTORIOGRAPHY

The alignment of two key developments marked the postwar era: the rise of and challenge of the global human rights discourse and the democratisation of musical production and performance. These two developments were not coincidental but, in fact, fed on each other to craft global human rights imagery. There was, indeed, a beleaguered interplay between human rights advocacy and contestation on the one hand and music composition and performance on the other. In tandem with the momentum of decolonisation, civil, women's and LGBTQI rights movements and the discourse on cultural diversity in society and politics, an increasingly diversified, globalised and ultimately contentious music market and culture emerged after World War II (Fléchet et al. 2023). Such diversification mirrored the paradox running through musical productions since World War II – that is, appeals to universalism in sound and discourse relating to human rights simultaneously produced or reinforced ethnic, racial, and national particularisms. While globalising markets on the one hand and politics on the other increasingly strove to integrate and recolonise geographically diverse regions, local artists, communities, and regional organisations often deliberately chose or invented unique musical styles through which they could express themselves in their “own sonic language” (identity politics) at home and abroad. For example, Johannesburg-born singer and human-rights activist Miriam Makeba was musically tied to African, Latin American, and Caribbean, notably Cuban, influences, professionally to the US (where her career first flourished), and politically to anti-apartheid activism around the world. Similarly, regional music styles in Latin America and the Caribbean, often mixed with outside influences, served as powerful expressions that aesthetically articulated the merger of protest and local identity (Illiano/Sala 2010; McCann 2004). Music in these diverse geographical regions, that

is, was equally influenced by European and African imports since the 16th century, enslaved and free, that eventually triggered new challenges to the status quo in a postcolonial vein, both in popular music (*Tejano, salsa, tango, rap, hip-hop*) and classical music (Hilda Dianda and Coriún Aharonián).

Curiously, research particularly dedicated to the history of music and human rights remains scarce. A few case studies exist: Esteban Buch's “Trauermarsch” (2017) examines how, in 1977, Daniel Barenboim and the Orchestre de Paris used classical music as a quasi-diplomatic tool in the tense bilateral relations of France and Argentina. Buch shows that the Orchestre struggled with the dilemma of performing in a country haunted by state-sanctioned oppression. The collections by Daniel Fischlin and Ajay Heble (2003), as well as Ian Peddie (2011), provide case studies that show the use of popular music as a means of advocating for human rights, but they focus on the activities of individual artists or groups, specific locations, and provide no link to the global history of music and human rights (see also Fifer 2022; Ghadery 2022; Harrison 2020; Kirchschiel 2021; Mihr/Gibney 2014; Romola 2019). Others have implicitly pointed to human rights challenges in music: Dean Vuletic's dynamic history of the Eurovision Song Contests since 1959 observes that the event's increasing commercialisation as well as calls for social diversity have contributed to what the author calls a “fraught relationship with democratic values in post-communist societies” (Vuletic 2018: 188). M. J. Grant, Rebecca Möllemann, Ingwill Morlandstö, Simone C. Münz, and Cornelia Nuxoll (2013) have addressed the question of how music in conflict may affect security, justice, and non-violent conflict resolution. Marsha Baxter (2007) has documented cases of music education designed to foster equity and social justice in a racially diverse environment. Felicity Laurence and Olivier Urbain have examined questions of universality, consciousness, and

connection in their edition “Music and Solidarity” (2011), including a particularly instructive essay by Maria Elisa Pinto Garcia on music as a storytelling device to describe and heal from past human rights abuse. Ethnomusicologist Nomi Dave, having worked in Guinea with Sierra Leonean, Liberian, and Ivorian refugees, postulates a focus away from official human rights concerts, music-based human rights initiatives, and music’s alleged universalism to music’s “practical, real-world effects” (Dave 2015: 2). Dave argues that informal music or dance practice in local spaces – none of which explicitly reference or allude to human rights – allow “for social cohesion to build amongst groups” as well as a “tool for overcoming trauma” (Dave 2015: 10). In a related way, Sara Marcus (2023) has recently traced US history from the Reconstruction Era to the 1980s AIDS crisis to show how individual musical pieces provided important means of coping with political disappointments. She argues that, throughout the 20th century, politically marginalised groups have used music constantly to express their unrealised desires for liberation.

The lacuna of studies on music and human rights is puzzling because a number of historiographical trends indicate the stark need for such a history: First, amid an explosion of research dedicated to human rights (Addo 1999; Keys 2014; Moyn 2010; Ruggie 2013; Sasson 2016; Wettstein 2009), an increasing number of historians have already pointed to the need for inserting culture into the study of human rights. They have postulated that the history of human rights should decentre key moments and sites of attention, such as the UDHR of 1948, the Helsinki Accords of 1975, or the European Court of Human Rights (Borgwardt 2005; Eckel 2014). They point to geographical differences, different temporalities, the shallow image of human rights in many regions of the world (Jensen 2016) and the local impetus of decolonisation as a force to reform human rights norms in international fora (Burke 2010; Meirelles 2016; Sanders 2016; Walling 2015). Some historians have specifically

looked at cultural developments, including literature, architecture, and sports, such as the Olympics (Bradley 2016; [Human Rights Research and Education Centre 2016](#); Keys 2019; Loeffler 2014), as places where human rights were either promoted, contested, or both. These scholars share an interest in the cultural construction of global human rights imagery as an imaginative form of transnational humanistic culture with a stock of iconic images and aesthetic forms. Michael Galchinsky (2016) and Joseph R. Slaughter (2006), for example, have raised intriguing questions about how twentieth-century literature was entangled with human rights in foundational ways.

What is more, in the past fifteen years, there has already been a growing body of scholarship investigating music in the context of international relations, postcolonialism, and transnationalism. A number of authors have highlighted the significance of music as a form of nonverbal political communication and contestation in post-1945 politics and international relations (Gienow-Hecht 2012, 2023b; Kelly 2003; Ramel 2011; Schneider 2006; Street 2012) as a means of cultural diplomacy and transborder relations (Dunkel/Nitzsche 2018; Fosler-Lussier 2015; Gienow-Hecht 2003; Rockwell 1997; Schmelz 2009) and as an identity-building force since the early modern period (Ahrendt et al. 2014; Buch 1999; Gienow-Hecht 2018). Much of this research has focused on jazz, rock, and pop music (Poiger 2000). Penny von Eschen (2004), for example, discusses US State Department initiatives promoting imperialist ideas of universal freedom with the help of jazz musicians who then criticised US policies while abroad. A number of case studies have also examined the political meaning of rock and pop performances such as Udo Lindenberg, Pink Floyd, and Die Toten Hosen in the former Warsaw Pact states (Gienow-Hecht 2000; Jones 2009; Poiger 2000; Schneider 2004; Street et. al. 2008). Classical music has joined this forum of historical investigation as a latecomer (Beckles Wilson

2009, 2013; Franklin 2005; Gienow-Hecht 2012, 2015: 8; Senghaas 2013; Taruskin 2009). Here, the history of US musical diplomacy appears to be a vibrant field (Ansari 2012; Croft 2015; Davenport 2009; Eschen 2004; Fosler-Lussier 2015; Mikkonen/Suutari 2016; Poiger 2000). Literature on postcolonial music scenes has pointed out how, in the face of increasingly uneven globalisation, actors, bands, and orchestras on and off stage in the Global South use different genres of music as a cultural manifestation to merge identity and opposition to Western domination (Ansell 2004; Ballantine 2012; Connell/Gibson 2004; Coplan 2007; Meintjes 2003; Muller 2011; Olaniyan 2004; Omojola 2009; Petersen 2009; Steingo 2017). Scholars of music from a range of fields, including anthropology, ethnomusicology, sociology, and history, have highlighted the significance of postcolonial music in global history (Radano/Olaniyan 2016; Taylor 1997). In “Noise Uprising”, Michael Denning (2015) shows how in the 1930s, shellac disks carried musical idioms and styles including *flamenco* (from Seville), *marabi* (Johannesburg), *samba* (Rio de Janeiro), jazz (New Orleans), *tango* (Buenos Aires), *hula* (Hawaii), and *kroncong* (Jakarta) around the world, eventually becoming the “soundtrack to decolonisation”. Likewise, Bob W. White (2011) studies musicians Gilberto Gil and David Byrne in tandem with other artists from the Global South, like Oumou Sangaré and Youssou N’Dour, to reveal the inner workings of musical encounter and consumption on a global scale. Shana Redmond (2013) has examined how songs transformed into weapons of resistance in the African diaspora, merging protest movements and transregional solidarity.

Building on these strands of existing literature, we suggest a conceptual framework to write an entangled and cohesive history of music and human rights in a global context. We aim to tackle the question of why and how the promotion, negotiation, and contestation of human rights have manifested in sound aesthetics, how musical actors

and stages have shaped human rights agendas and their rejection, and what larger musical contexts shape human rights advocacy and contestation.

## 5 METHODOLOGY: “ACTORS AND STAGES” – “AESTHETICS AND MUSIC”

Music and human rights can pertain to three different interactions: music can be, above all, an expression through which human rights discourse is articulated, negotiated, and contested explicitly by outspoken political activists. Moreover, the struggle over the composition, production, performance, and consumption of music can morph into a fight over human rights. Finally, music can have its own effects on human rights issues, either because it anticipates or enhances human rights contentions and sociopolitical power structures.

The transdisciplinary framework outlined here can be used to guide more detailed studies combining archival research or oral history interviews with artists, journalists, concert attendants, media listeners, and sound analysis. We suggest two different research themes, each focusing on a particular facet of music production, performance, and consumption that we believe weave a net between the research fields of music and sound studies on the one hand and history on the other. “Actors and Stages” reflects on sites and agency in musical practices. “Aesthetics and Music”, in turn, entails the analysis of various and often competing sound worlds throughout different parts of the globe and connects these to human rights issues. This approach aims to develop a novel methodology because the two have not been used in tandem before and, more importantly, because it helps generate research results based on archival and musical matter reflecting the emotional dimension of human rights in both promotion and contestation.

## 5.1 ACTORS AND STAGES

Motifs and motivations of organisations and musicians giving concerts either in the name of human rights or to defy the same are crucial to the investigation of human rights. Such an approach needs to be specifically dedicated to the role of places and people in the sound worlds outlined below. The efficacy of this type of performance hinges on the actors' visibility and opportunity to climb and claim public stages. "Actor", in this context, can refer to anybody involved in the genesis, performance, production, or consumption of music.

The key concept informing such an approach revolves around the concept of "the stage". While the stage concept has been used for the regional study of music and US diplomatic history (Gienow-Hecht 2012), it has never been applied globally. The stage is a designated physical space marked by intensified attention and display, a cultural situation involving the performer and observer. Scholars of drama believe that situations involving looking, showing, and listening represent a stage where audiences practice participation. Simultaneously, scholars from the field of performance studies argue that the stage is also a place for undoing meanings taken for granted, which often yields ambiguous forms of cultural expressions. Nothing on stage, that is, is meaningless. The director, conductor, bandleader, actors, and musicians all seek to generate meaning, and so do audiences. All spectators typically generate some sort of interpretation, even if that interpretation remains rudimentary, and to do so, they strain their perception, including all of their senses: they feel, they listen, they sense, and they smell (Fischer-Lichte 2008; Marx 2006, 2018).

Repertoires likewise chosen by actors play a key role in staging affect because they cross musical genres. We know from the history of benefit concerts (O'Connell 2011) that musical actors in the

past have sought to mobilise people by performing specific compositions from both classical and pop music canon. For example, classical composers such as Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart and Ludwig van Beethoven and pieces such as the "Lacrimosa" or the "Ninth Symphony" feature on top of such concerts, allegedly projecting composers' love of liberty.

The theme puts much emphasis on the symbolic value of "place" in staged musical affect. Places may be designated concert stages, but also streets, squares, religious buildings, prisons, beaches, public institutions, and train stations. These multifarious locations provide space for musical performances to be translated into political meaning and studied in depth. For example, the Boston Symphony Orchestra gave a series of anniversary concerts in honour of the UDHR beginning in December of [1949](#) in New York's famous, highly visible Carnegie Hall; successive concerts were moved into the General Assembly Hall, an event that we examine more closely later. Likewise, between 1986 and 1998, Amnesty International organised a series of concerts, culminating in the 1988 high-profile ["Human Rights Now!"](#) world tour, a six-week, five-continent, fifteen-nation, twenty-concert tour across the world with the aim of letting people, as one artist put it, "feel" the interconnectedness of their worlds and fears.

To provide a full picture of music as a site of human rights promotion and contestation, "Actors and Stages" can also examine stages as sites of heavy conflict over human rights abrogation with their attendant actors. Anticipating latter-day visions of women's rights as human rights, from the 1960s on, on stage and in orchestra pits throughout the world, women decried their own lack of presence and the denial of their freedom to play. For example, the regular performances of the Women's Philharmonic became a picture book example of activism in the name of human rights demands. Founded in 1981, the orchestra served

as a forum for female conductors and players who joined instruments and batons to demand their right to cultural expression as stipulated in the UDHR and promote female players and composers on stage. As a counterpoint, the Tehran Symphony Orchestra cancelled a performance planned for the closing ceremony of an international wrestling event on 29 November 2015 after the authorities objected to the presence of women musicians among the orchestra members. In both instances, actors – activists and officials – used symphony stages to promote or abrogate women’s physical and intellectual presence in orchestras (Rauscher et al. 2018).

Further sites of human rights abrogation also include censorship, bans and persecution. Asian authoritarian regimes such as Ne Win (1962 to 1988) in Burma (Selth 2017) imposed strict aesthetic regulations on the creation and performance of music. We know today that in the 1960s, Cambodia produced a vibrant multi-national musical culture symbolising the utopia of a borderless world, fusing Caribbean-tinged rhythms, contemporary American rock, pop, and soul with lyrics sung in Khmer. During the *Khmer Rouge* regime from 1975 to 1979, 90 per cent of all Cambodian pop stars, including celebrities such as [Ros Serey Sothe](#), [Mao Sareth](#), and [Sinn Sisamouth](#), vanished in one of the worst genocides in Asia (Mamula 2008).

In Latin America, authoritarian states systematically censored musical productions by “undesirable” artists, such as the recording “*Banquete Dos Mendigos*” concert in Rio de Janeiro in 1973 (Catano 1994). Artists were persecuted, imprisoned, and tortured artists, such as the Argentinian pianist Miguel de Estrella, and others were murdered, such as Victor Jara in Chile. Simultaneously, the Teatro Colón in Argentina transformed classical music institutions into a force of stability and invited Western ensembles on tours, for instance, the Orchestre de Paris’ in Buenos Aires (Buch 2017).

In Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union, postwar artists and concerts banned or at least questioned since the 1950s included a host of Western pop idols but also domestic jazz and rock groups that articulated repression, such as *Mashina Vremeni* (Pekacz 1994). Socialist governments, in particular, frowned upon jazz concerts as a public Western articulation of individual freedom, while regional musicians emphatically celebrated the genre as a personal expression and opposition towards authoritarianism (Tsipursky 2016), not much unlike latter-day rappers in Africa (Charry 2012).

Yet, over time, authoritarian leaders began to understand jazz as the music of the oppressed. From the 1970s on, acceptance of rock music often served as an instrument of appeasement (for domestic youth) and cultural diplomacy (to improve relations with liberal regimes). Even works banned in Maoist China, such as the [“Butterfly Lovers Concerto”](#), arranged by Chen Gang and He Zhanhao, eventually became one of China’s cultural exports and rose to international fame. We also know that since the 1980s, some authoritarian regimes went on to lift bans and turn formerly undesirable music into major diplomatic tools and cultural export products: in China, this change included versions of Western pop ballads (*Liuxing*) and rock music (*Yaogun*) (Baranovitch 2003) to the extent that in the 1980s, rock musician Chui Jian became a notable actor in the quest for the freedom of self-expression and the first ever popular music artist in the country to obtain permission to travel abroad to give concerts on international stages (Ho 2011: 35–36).

Appropriation of the genre, however, did not signal appropriation of protest. We know, for example, that as late as 2012, Russian police jailed the female punk band Pussy Riot for criticising Russian President Vladimir Putin during a concert in Moscow (Sharafutdinova 2014). Many of the banned artists subsequently featured prominently on pop and classical programme stages in liberal states

as an expression of solidarity. For example, Pussy Riot members were greeted by Madonna live on stage on the eve of the Olympics in Sochi, Russia, in 2014. Here, music fulfils a triple function: it serves to celebrate human rights values in the face of overt oppression, it resonates with a presumed medium of liberty and freedom, and it offers itself as a soundscape to reveal, quite literally, how human rights and their opposite sound (Fosler-Lussier 2007).

The historical role of music in the context of human rights violations on concealed stages, such as prisons and execution and torture chambers, represents another site to be studied in the context of actors and stages. Using music as a tool of suppression means converting a defining aspect of what makes us human into an effort to dehumanise individuals. Compromises incurred by national orchestras playing under authoritarian regimes are well studied (Trümpi 2016). In German concentration camps, inmates played for leisure but also for fellow prisoners marching to the gas chambers. We also know that during the Chilean and Argentinian dictatorships, prison guards used music – classical, opera, and other genres – to torture inmates. Local guards and soldiers used music as a tool of brutalisation (Chornik, 2018; Cohen/Zaharnoff, 2018, Herrero/Lutowicz 2009, Minsburg/Lutowicz 2010; Morris 2001) and even inspired latter-day torturers in North America. During the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, US officials tested musical methods for rendering the enforced extraction of information invisible (Cusick 2006; Pieslak 2009), ranging from heavy metal, opera arias, and patriotic marches to children's tunes, including Disney's ["It's a Small World"](#) (Daughtry 2015).

## 5.2 AESTHETICS AND MUSIC

Human rights and their contestation can, thus, be delineated in a plethora of sound worlds. Aesthetics, in this context, refers to how musicians

envison, compose, and perform music, as well as the ways critics and audiences consume, listen, enjoy, react to, and criticise music. In general, musicologists highlight the ambivalent character of music insofar as its sounds can sonically stabilise and reinforce existing sociopolitical power structures as well as offer a site of aesthetic utopias where existing social and political relations can be symbolically overridden. Scholars of the nascent field of "sound studies", in particular, emphasise that linkages between sound and the sociopolitical, such as the previously discussed examples, reflect cultural constructions; many, like Small (2001), argue that music has no universal essence. The historical circumstances that make certain sounds into what they eventually signify always have to be analysed. Historians seeking to reconstruct the history of music and human rights thus need to pay attention to sound and identify the symbolic dimensions artists choose to render a political message for a listening public. On a methodological level, sounds can be treated as a primary source and can be analysed in close connection to social orders, hierarchies, and political orders.

From the 1980s and 1990s onward, working under what is often referred to as "new musicology", music scholars have begun to combine perspectives from cultural history, cultural studies, and aesthetics. They analyse music as a social text (Middleton 1990; Shepherd 1991) or, in the words of music anthropologist Steven Feld, "sound structure as social structure" (Feld 1984). Defining this approach, musicologist Susan McClary understands music as "a medium that participates in social formation by influencing the ways we perceive our feelings, our bodies, our desires, our very subjectivities – even if it does so surreptitiously, without most of us knowing how" (McClary 1994: 211). While McClary makes clear that music, often in very subtle and subliminal ways, structures the reproduction of sociopolitical hegemonies, other authors like Georgina Born (2011: 380–381) and



Sheila Whiteley (2006) point to the transgressive and utopian potentials of music which, as Whiteley phrases it, “provides a specific insight into the ways in which fantasy – whether through watching a live performance or in the intimacy of listening to music in the private sphere of the bedroom – can signal both what is denied and what we would like to experience” (Whiteley 2006: 251). Elaborating on these perspectives, “Aesthetics and Music” aims to account for the Janus-headed power of music as an aesthetic practice which, on the one hand, structures the everyday and works in support of the sociopolitical status quo, while, on the other, encourages listeners and performers to enter sonified (i.e. non-speech audio) worlds that differ from the everyday, allowing for transitional experiences and critical reassessments of the ordinary.

To tackle the interplay of aesthetics, music, and human rights, the rather recent field of sound studies appears tailor-made. For the last twenty years, scholars working in this – in itself already a transdisciplinary – field have shown how (musical) sounds, sound technologies, and modes of listening historically shaped the way people understand and form their modes of being (subjectivities, bodies) and position themselves and others in relation to their social environments (Kassabian 2013; Sterne 2003; Thompson 2002). In particular, sound studies have highlighted the need to study music in its affective dimensions (Goodman 2010; Thompson 2017). Such an approach shows how music’s symbolic as well as affective potentials have profound implications for the history of human rights.

From the perspectives of musicology and sound studies, musical sounds are rife with symbolic and semiotic meanings (Brackett 1995; Hawkins 2002; Tagg 1979). Social conditions register in music through sonic codes which articulate cultural power relations in terms of, for instance, gender (McClary 1991, Jarman-Ivens 2011, Hansen 2021),

race (Rose 1994, Stoeber 2016), class (Fox 2004, Wiseman-Trouse 2008), national identity (Schiller 2018), or intersections thereof (Hubbs 2014, Brooks 2021). Listeners perceive musical sounds as expressions of the social world because they have learned to associate certain parameters, such as the sound of a certain instrument or the timbre of a voice, with region, identity and so on (Eidsheim 2015; Smith 2008). Cultural differences are mapped on musical sounds, which then function as signifiers: thus, the sitar sounds like “India”, and the bagpipe sounds like “Scotland”. From this point of view, music can tell historians much about the times, places, and social power structures they wish to examine. The application of sound studies and musicology enables the historian to pay attention to the qualities of certain sound parameters to ask what kind of statements artists and audiences make in terms of identity politics: a Scot playing the bagpipe might confirm stereotypes of “Scottishness,” while a Scot playing the sitar might not (and would perhaps be regarded as an act of cultural appropriation). It is possible, then, to analyse musical sounds in close relation to social discourse (e.g. their manifestations in concert reviews, press, and media) and to reconstruct how people perceive specific sounds in specific contexts as a way to promote human rights (e.g. transnational human rights concerts), negotiate and transform their meanings (e.g. musicians who wish to make a political statement through song and composition), or rigidly contest these by symbolically underlining the rule of authority and violence (e.g. military marches or festivity parades in authoritarian regimes).

Studying music in the context of human rights history means addressing both sung music and non-verbal music. The most obvious way to articulate political visions in music is through lyrics and language. Tellingly, after World War II, popular music experienced an explicit lyric politicisation (Friedman 2013). For example, the post-World War II folk revival in many different regions of the

world gave rise to politicised grassroots movements in which singer-songwriters accompanied themselves on guitar to sing about exploitation, war, and alienation countered by visions of anti-consumerism, solidarity, and communal life (Brocken 2003; Mitchell 2007; Petrus/Cohen 2015). In the counter cultures of the 1960s, such protest songs thrived globally, ranging from the North American and British new folk movement to German *Liedermacher*, Italian *cantautori*, Brazilian *tropicalismo*, and Japanese *fōku*. U.S. American singer Joan Baez repeatedly rendered her famous version of “We Shall Overcome,” a utopian song derived from African-American gospel, notably at the 1963 [March on Washington](#). The song’s lyrics, speaking from a “we” perspective addressing the quest and the hope of collectively leaving behind the inequalities and oppressive structures of the status quo, are emblematic of the lyrical protest of the 1960s folk singers and the call for solidarity against social and economic injustice. “We Shall Overcome”, indeed, became one of the unofficial anthems (along with other songs such as Sam Cooke’s [“A Change is Gonna Come”](#)) of the Civil Rights Movement. As such, the song expressed a contemporary counter-cultural sensibility channelled through music.

Depending on state policy, artists had different choices about what and how to play. These choices, in turn, bore directly on the meaning of human rights and music’s ability to foreground, reflect, or defy them. While Baez never had to face major threats for singing political folk songs in the United States, artists operating in authoritarian regimes routinely struggled with state oppression. At the peak of the Brazilian *tropicalismo* movement in 1968, the government arrested singer-songwriters Gilberto Gil and Caetano Veloso for public performance and distribution of their overtly political songs, sentencing them to three months in prison, then forcing them to leave the country (Napolitano 1998). Other *tropicalismo* artists, however, such as the experimental musician

Tom Zè, were never charged. Zè managed to produce a steady stream of critical songs throughout the late 1960s and 1970s by toning down the political content through wry metaphors and irony. Many of Zè’s songs, like his famous [“São, São Paulo, Meu Amor”](#), satirically attacked the strong class cleavage and injustice of Brazilian society. The song even won an award at the national TV contest Festival de Música Popular Brasileira in 1968, the same year Gil and Veloso were arrested. “São, São Paulo, Meu Amor” sarcastically pointed at the government’s massive civil rights violations at the expense of the underprivileged rural population and the ignorance among the privileged urban upper and middle classes who were not suffering under military rule (Dunn 2009). Zè’s sarcastic love confession for (and actual critique of) life in São Paulo was set to a catchy, happy, and simple pop melody, which even animated the TV studio audience at the [Festival de Música Popular Brasileira](#) to sing along with him.

The two contrasting examples of Baez and Zé illustrate an important point highlighted by musicologists and sound studies scholars: musical sounds are never just a neutral container for lyrical content but are actively involved in framing and shaping the form of a political message or meaning in a specific context or situation. Given the sociopolitical circumstances, Zé worked out his political protest through sonic camouflaging and irony (the “happy” and catchy pop song, seemingly innocent and appropriate to sing along with). In doing so, he managed to avoid the fate of Gil and Veloso; instead, he even received permission to climb up on the stage of a national television contest. Baez, on the other hand, articulated protest in a very straightforward and serious musical manner as she performed her version in a way that spoke to the quest for honesty and authenticity typical of the aesthetics of the 1960s folk song: a down-to-earth-arrangement of a voice accompanied by the sounds of an acoustic guitar, thereby meeting the expectations of her

audience and, despite all the differences to Zé's irony, just like Zé animating the crowd gathered at the March on Washington to sing along with her. What is more, the two examples also illustrate the affective dimension of music, including collective mood management: Zè used catchy pop sounds that matched the happy party atmosphere of the TV festival (the video footage shows people cheering and enthusiastic and energised bodies), whereas Baez performed a song which borrowed from the tradition of spirituals, achieving a sort of contemplative communion among her audience (the video footage shows people holding hands and bodies swaying slowly to the music). Both songs aesthetically fit the occasions, and the audience could entrain the feelings conveyed, thereby aligning themselves (whether consciously or unwittingly) with the counter-cultural sensibility of the music. Thus, both lyrics and sounds do matter when studying the articulation of politics and human rights in music. The examples of Baez and Zé show that circumstance, situation, and contextualisation of music play a crucial role when artists aesthetically craft and perform their expressions of political protest.

The comparison shows that, not surprisingly, liberal and illiberal regimes differed in their response to music deemed undesirable. State institutions in Eastern Europe used classical music and its attendant institutions to create and guard what they saw as stable social orders throughout the second half of the twentieth century. Simultaneously, they censored certain musical expressions and genres as disruptive. The ideology of socialist realism promoted works portraying the life and reality of the common people. Socialist realism deemed aesthetic expressions of individualised feelings and sentiments as remnants of bourgeois culture and hedonism, and according to this doctrine, socialist leaders saw abstract avant-garde compositions and noise genres as “decadent” outpourings of Western capitalism. Consequently, they put a strict ban on Western popular music or

appropriated some genres when staged in “toned down” and socialist terms (Rauhut 1993). Yet the Soviet government's insistence on socialist realism began to wane to the extent that avant-garde Western techniques were tolerated (within specific contexts) since the 1960s (Schmelz 2009). And by the 1970s, many East German composers were incorporating techniques of Western new music without suffering any political consequences. The dichotomy, that is, changed over time and was not uniformly applied everywhere in the Eastern Bloc. For instance, the Polish government tolerated a much wider variety of music than the GDR (Pickhan/Ritter 2011; Schmidt-Rost 2015). Here, the distinction between music and “unmusical” noise gained an additional dimension as it was embedded within the emerging human rights discourse.

Postwar liberal states, in turn, opted for milder – and often contradictory – strategies of control to fight what many perceived as the “musical enemy” from within. [“God Save the Queen”](#) (1977), an iconic song by the British punk band The Sex Pistols, for example, was banned by the BBC because it ridiculed the British aristocracy on a symbolic level. By ironically quoting the title lines of the British national anthem in the musical context of loud and heavy punk with singer Johnny Rotten's aggressive vocal delivery, the band uttered their protest against what they saw as a conservative and old-fashioned institution. In addition to the BBC ban, the band was likewise stopped and searched by the police during a performance of that song while [cruising on the River Thames](#) during the Silver Jubilee festivities of Queen Elizabeth's accession to the throne.

These examples show that sounds bear a symbolic dimension insofar as they work like codes in the sense of semiotics and cultural studies, and their semantic potentials or properties can be analysed. The symbolic dimensions of sounds are manifold. Often, these sound structures originate

in distinct genres like rock, hip-hop, folk, soul, classical, or avant-garde, which evolve around a sonic palette of different musical parameters – such as timbres, rhythms, and harmonic structures – to which societies attribute different values. Musical genres and their sounds are thus charged with certain meanings: they are discursively equated with manifestations of social structures and cultural identities in terms of, for instance, race, class, gender, or generation. In the European and North American context, some genres were delegitimised as being nothing other than “unmusical noise” and regularly came under attack from cultural elites. Throughout the second half of the twentieth century, compositions borrowing from or building upon black musical traditions, such as rock’n’roll or hip-hop, have been linked to social unrest, disorder, and vulgarity, as well as sexually immoral, deviant, and criminal behaviours (Rose 1994; Poiger 2000; Mrozek 2019). Entire genres have thus been enmeshed with discourse that (re)produces social differences and stigmas. In the case of hip-hop, for example, the sweeping accusation of its alleged “rawness” and “vulgarity” were (and still are) tied to the racist discourse of white patriarchal society, as bell hooks has argued (hooks 1994).

Because the sound worlds of a genre are always charged with symbolic meanings and brought into conversation with cultural discourse, the choice of genre can be essential for framing or underlining political statements. In other words, artists might work with the sounds of a certain genre because it is discursively entangled with certain symbolic meanings. In her book “The Space Between the Notes. Rock and the Counterculture”, Sheila Whiteley (1992) analyses the signature sounds of 1960s rock music regarding their symbolic meanings. She argues that the electrified, warbling, and distorted textures of bands like Cream, The Beatles, Pink Floyd, or Jimi Hendrix articulated the counter-cultural longing for free expression, free sexuality, and alternative forms of spirituality as

well as a more liberal view on drugs. While this choice might not always be consciously intended, artists often show a high degree of self-reflection. In 2000, a few months after General Robert Gueï had seized power in Côte d’Ivoire by way of a *coup d’état* and installed a military government, musician Tiken Jah Fakoly reacted with “[Promeses de la Caméléon](#)”, a protest song that addressed the undemocratic political climate which reinforced inner tensions among ethnic groups and left large parts of the population voiceless. Fakoly explicitly chose reggae for his political message because, to him, it reflected the essential Afro-diasporic protest genre, the “music of opinions” expressing the rights of “those without means” (Reed 2012: 96), thereby situating his music within the political legacy of other Afro-diasporic or African reggae musicians. Thus, Fakoly is a picture book example of how artists strategically employ distinct sound structures to define their political positions. In a globalised world, the politics of reggae travelled rapidly and transgressed its original localities. Artists of colour who do not have an Afro-diasporic background have employed reggae music to protest racist oppression in their home countries, as Elizabeth Turner demonstrates in her study on the 1980s band Herbs from New Zealand (Turner 2022).

While the cases above comprise examples of rather explicit political articulations, musical sounds are also implicitly connected to politics and human rights issues. To make a distinction between political and unpolitical music is, as ethnomusicologist Kerstin Klenke notes, “a rather futile enterprise” since “it is not unusual for political ideology to extend its reach to issues such as fame, family, friendship and musical aesthetics” (Klenke 2019: 62–63). By the same token, scholars of musicology and sound studies emphasise that the unfolding of social order and hierarchies in music does not necessitate any wilful authorship of performers, producers, or other individuals involved. Regardless of the sociopolitical structures or

political systems surrounding it, whether staged, broadcast, or recorded, music always takes on political meanings. These intrinsic political meanings of music are always there. They become all the more powerful thanks to what sound studies scholar Martha Garcia Quiñones (2013) calls in her collection *Ubiquitous Musics*, meaning the presence of music within various social and mediated spaces, ranging from fashion stores in international airports to globetrotting street performers, office spaces, and bars. Collectively, these generate soundscapes defining everyday perceptions, sensibilities, and knowledge of individuals, communities, or national audiences.

Put differently, even if they do not realise it, people constantly listen to the political environments in which they live. If that environment celebrates, questions, or contests rights – human, civil, or otherwise – audiences absorb that message every single day. The music played and represented on national media, for example, constructs an aural image of what it means to be a “natural citizen” or denied that status. As Martin Stokes (1992) and Jennifer Lynn Stoever (2016) show for 1970s Turkey and 1930s and 1940s US, the structural exclusion of minority music from national television and radio culture served to reproduce and legitimise social inequalities. Stokes demonstrates how the Turkish government banned the music genre *Arabesk* from officially sponsored airwaves due to its close ties to Arabic musical traditions, notably *Maqam* modes. Turkish officials viewed *Arabesk* as a threat to the nation because its sounds symbolised pan-Islamic civilisation and, additionally, was predominantly practised by Arab and Kurdish-speaking minorities of South East Anatolia. Silencing minority cultures on national broadcasts thus presumably served to stabilise the unity of the Turkish nation-state by exclusion. In a similar vein, Stoever, in her book “The Sonic Color line”, studies the structural exclusion of African American artists and music on US broadcasting stations in the 1930s and 1940s due to the radio industry’s

official white middle-class agenda. Radio in 1930s and 1940s America officially served as a “national” and “democratic” medium, featuring exclusively white artists, white voices, and white sounds on national radio, invoking what Stoever calls a “sonic color line”, fixing US citizenship to “white sonic identity” but also eventually evoking protest of those whose music went unheard.

These cases of virtual exclusion of minorities from the national media landscape underline our argument that expression of human rights through music and sound cannot only be found in those moments when they are explicitly announced or staged as such. More often than not, they may be found on an implicit level as well. The historian trying to identify audio-based articulations of human rights, therefore, needs to step beyond the horizon of official human rights documents and declarations and into the everyday culture of sound, including archived radio or television broadcasts as well as song recordings, to excavate “the sound of human rights” – and its manifold contestations.

## 6 DA CAPO: THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS CONCERTS

So, what does this all mean when applied to the scenario of the United Nations as outlined in the passage at the beginning of this essay? First of all, what we see on the stages of Carnegie Hall, the General Assembly, and then in the Palais de Nations in Geneva is the attempt to attribute specific emotional meaning to a set of demands that is, for the most part, understood in political and legal terms. These performances show us how musical performance and musical identity not merely reflected but, indeed, anticipated a larger contestation over human rights, one that was to materialise on the popular level a decade later. We see how actors strove and indeed haggled over how to formulate an emotional vision of human rights

– and over who would do the formulating. And we also see how that emotional formulation of human rights – so homogenous in the 1940s and 1950s, then so cacophonous, so diverse, so shared in the 1960s and early 1970s – eventually retreated to what it once had been: the music of the European enlightenment and the Romantics. This is another way of saying that the musical vision and articulation of human rights, at least on the stage of the United Nations, took a moment to balloon into a *multilingua franca* across the globe, only then to morph back into the canon of Western universalism. This surprising if short-lived trajectory foreshadowed a development marking the present: total compartmentalisation of the human rights discourse on the global level in which each actor, each group has its own version of human rights yet without much reference to related “canons” of rights discourse – think the Cairo Declaration in 1990, think China’s “alternative vision” of human rights and its explicit welcome to the establishment of the Human Rights Council in 2006, think Venezuelan president Nicolas Maduro’s infamous candidacy for the Human Rights Council in 2019 and his resulting tenure for the coming two years.

Second, the example reveals how an emphasis on the two research venues proposed above, “Aesthetics and Affect” as well as “Actors and Stages,” help historians and musicologists to work in tandem and study how a specific set of musical productions since 1949 has both championed and criticised the postwar discourse on human rights. Since 1949, both human rights and their contestations have been articulated via music and musical practices on stages chosen by the General Assembly across regions and genres. The settings, the participating actors, the sound displayed, and the aesthetic framework chosen differed, as did the means of distribution and publication. Thus, the example of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights anniversary concerts shows that music served and, indeed, continues to serve as a

language and a forum to imagine the promotion and contestation of human rights on an emotional level, however contested. That language not only reflected but popularised human rights protests. It anticipated global activism by more than a decade.

On a more general level, linking the history of music to the history of human rights can help identify avenues through which actors articulated the promotion and contestation of human rights by emotional, including nonverbal, means. It reveals how actors contextualised and responded to the promises and challenges in musical practices and performances over the course of the second half of the twentieth century – and how audiences and social communities reacted to such aesthetic visions. It also shows that sometimes, no actors or agency in favour of – or opposed to – certain political agendas are needed at all for music to become involved in human rights issues. At the same time, the nexus between music and human rights history identifies some alternative visions produced and promoted in specific locales at different times by means of aesthetic expression or silencing (for example, the detention or even execution of artists) and examines instances of dehumanisation in the global-local context, including music as an instrument of censorship, denial, and torture. Lastly, musical expressions or denials of equal human rights are also often performed or “staged” rather implicitly, as in the exclusion of certain minority music on national television or radio programmes. Here, the perpetual question is whose music is represented and whose is not, who is granted the right and privilege to have a voice to be heard in public, who is authorised to participate in practices of aesthetic or cultural expression and who is not? Since the right or freedom to “speak” to the public always and necessarily implies a listening audience, this latter aspect also entails another right beyond that of voice: that of the freedom of listening (Lacey 2013: 165). Who exactly is addressed or present as the

“listening public”, and who is denied the right of access to stages or media as a listener? In other words, how do the distributed sound worlds of a geographically circumscribed territory, such as a nation-state, create virtual exclusions – read inequalities – of and within certain communities?

On a meta-level, studying political history through music and sound triggers an original shift in theoretical knowledge, expands our set of instruments of investigation and, most importantly, enhances our understanding of how political power actually works. Political power is never merely an object of desire, a way to make others follow orders, nor is it exercised through governments, organisations, institutions, and human actors in general. Political power and political contestation are both lodged in sound. Music’s ability to affect and generate symbolic orders, feelings, structures of subjectivity, shared (communal) social experiences, and interpersonal relationships allows us to hear the contested and cacophonous sounds of human rights.

The story of the UDHR concerts, by the way, is not yet history. Last year, in December 2023, the United Nations celebrated the [75th anniversary of the UDHR](#), at the Alhambra Hall in Geneva, Switzerland. The event included “world-class talent from every continent”, among these UNICEF Goodwill Ambassador Angélique Kidjo and Ukrainian Violinist Yelyzaveta Zaitseva, and, in more than one way, bore more resemblance to the UDHR anniversary concerts in the 1960s and 70s than before and after. Thus, the story continues: after a period of silence, musical diversity is back on the UDHR stage. And one can only hope that it will not take another 25 years for the next concert to celebrate and remind us of what is unquestionable the one central document that serves as a global rights road map for the rights of every individual in the world.

## REFERENCES

- Addo, Michael K. (ed.) 1999: *Human Rights Standards and the Responsibility of Transnational Cooperations*, Leiden: Brill.
- Ahrendt, Rebekah / Ferraguto, Mark / Mahiet, Damien (eds.) 2014: *Music and Diplomacy from the Early Modern Era to the Present*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ansari, Emily A. 2012: *Shaping the Policies of Cold War Musical Diplomacy. An Epistemic Community of American Composers*, *Diplomatic History* 36(1): 41–52.
- Ansell, Gwen 2004: *Soweto Blues. Jazz, Popular Music, and Politics in South Africa*, New York: Continuum.
- Attali, Jacques 1985: *Noise. The Political Economy of Music*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Ballantine, Christopher 2012: *Marabi Nights. Jazz, “Race” and Society in Early Apartheid South Africa*, Pietermaritzburg: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Baranovitch, Nimrod 2003: *China’s New Voices. Popular Music, Ethnicity, Gender, and Politics, 1978–1997*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Barenboim, Daniel / Saïd, Edward W. 2003: *Parallels and Paradoxes. Explorations in Music and Society*, London: Bloomsbury.
- Baxter, Marsha 2007: *Global Music Making a Difference. Themes of Exploration, Action and Justice*, *Music Education Research* 9(2): 267–279.
- Beckles Willson, Rachel 2009: *Whose Utopia? Perspectives on the West-Eastern Divan Orchestra*, *Music & Politics* 3(2): 1–1.
- Beckles Willson, Rachel 2013: *Orientalism and Musical Mission. Palestine and the West*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Borgwardt, Elizabeth 2005: *A New Deal for the World. America’s Vision for Human Rights*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Born, Georgina 2011: *Music and the Materialization of Identities*, *Journal of Material Culture* 16(4): 376–388.
- Brackett, David 1995: *Interpreting Popular Music*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bradley, Mark 2016: *The World Reimagined. Americans and Human Rights in the Twentieth Century*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Brocken, Michael 2003: *The British Folk Revival. 1944–2002*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Brooks, Daphne 2021: *Liner Notes for the Revolution. The Intellectual Life of Black Feminist Sound*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Buch, Esteban 1999: *La Neuvieme de Beethoven. Une histoire politique*, Paris: Gallimard.
- Buch, Esteban 2017: *Trauermarsch. L’Orchestre de Paris dans L’Argentine de la dictature*, Paris: Éditions de Seuil.
- Burke, Roland 2010: *Decolonization and the Evolution of International Human Rights*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Charry, Eric (ed.) 2012: *Hip Hop Africa. New African Music in a Globalizing World*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Chornik, Katia 2018: *Memories of Music in Political Detention in Chile under Pinochet*, *Journal of Latin American Cultural Studies* 27(2): 157–173.
- Cohen, Koby / Zaharoff, Charlie 2018: *A Comparative History of Musical Brutality in Military Detention*, Berlin: Freie Universität (unpublished).
- Coplan, David B. 2007: *In Township Tonight! Three Centuries of South African Black City Music and Theatre*, Auckland Park: Jacana.
- Connell, John / Gibson, Chris 2004: *World music. Deterritorializing Place and Identity*, *Progress in Human Geography* 28(3): 342–361.
- Corona, Ignacio / Madrid, Alejandro L. 2008: *Postnational Musical Identities. Cultural Production, Distribution, and Consumption in a Globalized Scenario*, Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Croft, Clare 2015: *Dancers as Diplomats. American Choreography in Cultural Exchange*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cull, Nick 2023: *Engineering Empathy. Humanity, Culture, and the Battle Against Apartheid in South Africa 1948–1994*, in: Kunkel, Söhnke / Gienow-Hecht, Jessica C. E. / Jobs, Sebastian (eds.): *Visions of*



- Humanity. Historical Cultural Practices since 1850, New York: Berghahn Books, 181–207.
- Cusick, Suzanne G. 2006: Music as Torture / Music as Weapon, Barcelona: Trans. Revista Transcultural de Música, <https://www.sibetrans.com/trans/articulo/152/music-as-torture-music-as-weapon> (accessed 20 July 2019).
- Daughtry, Martin 2015: Listening to War. Sound, Music, Trauma, and Survival in Wartime Iraq, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dave, Nomi 2015: Music and the Myth of Universality. Sounding Human Rights and Capabilities, *Journal of Human Rights Practice* 7(1): 1–17.
- Davenport, Lisa E. 2009: Jazz Diplomacy. Promoting America in the Cold War Era, Jackson: University of Mississippi.
- De Meirelles, Renata C. R. 2016: Acender as velas já é profissão. A atuação da Anistia Internacional em relação ao Brasil. Durante a ditadura (1961–1981), São Paulo: Universidade de São Paulo, [https://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/8/8138/tde-03102016-134758/publico/2016\\_RenataCostaReisDeMeirelles\\_VCorr.pdf](https://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/8/8138/tde-03102016-134758/publico/2016_RenataCostaReisDeMeirelles_VCorr.pdf) (accessed 27 December 2023).
- Denning, Michael 2015: Noise Uprising. The Audiopolitics of a Musical World Revolution, London: Verso.
- Dunkel, Mario / Nitzsche, Sina (eds.) 2018: Popular Music and Public Diplomacy, Bielefeld: transcript.
- Dunn, Christopher 2009: Tom Zé and the Performance of Citizenship in Brazil, *Popular Music* 28(2): 1–21.
- Eckel, Jan 2014: Die Ambivalenz des Guten. Menschenrechte in der Internationalen Politik seit den 1940ern, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Eidsheim, Nina 2015: Sun, Race and the Aesthetics of Vocal Timbre, in: Bloechel, Olivia / Lowe, Melanie / Kallberg, Jeffrey (eds.): Rethinking Difference in Music Scholarship, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 338–365.
- Feld, Steven 1984: Sound Structure as Social Structure, *Ethnomusicology* 28(3): 383–409.
- Fifer, Julian / Impey, Angela / Kirchsclaeger, Peter G. / Nowak, Manfred / Ulrich, George (eds.) 2022: The Routledge Companion to Music and Human Rights, London: Routledge.
- Fischer-Lichte, Erika 2008: The Transformative Power of Performance. A New Aesthetics (transl. Jain, Saskya I.), London: Routledge.
- Fischlin, Daniel / Heble, Ajay (eds.) 2003: Rebel Musics. Human Rights, Resistant Sounds, and the Politics of Music, Montréal: Blackrose Books.
- Fléchet, Anais / Guerpín, Martin / Gumplowicz, Philippe / Kelly, Barbara (eds.) 2023: Music and Post-War Transitions in the 19th and 20th Centuries, New York: Berghahn Books.
- Fléchet, Anais / Guerpín, Martin / Gumplowicz, Philippe / Kelly, Barbara 2023: Musical Humanism. Yehudi Menuhin and UNESCO's International Music Council, 1969–1975, in: Kunkel, Söhnke / Gienow-Hecht, Jessica C. E. / Jobs, Sebastian (eds.): Visions of Humanity. Historical Cultural Practices since 1850, New York: Berghahn Books, 228–249.
- Fosler-Lussier 2007: Music Divided. Bartók's Legacy in Cold War Culture, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Fosler-Lussier, Danielle 2004: Music in America's Cold War Diplomacy, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Fox, Aron L. 2004: Real Country. Music and Language in Working-Class Culture, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Franklin, Marianne (ed.) 2005: Resounding International Relations. On Music, Culture, and Politics, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Frevert, Ute 2016: The History of Emotions, in: Feldman Barrett, Lisa / Lewis, Michael / Haviland-Jones, Jeannette M. (eds.): Handbook of Emotions, New York: Guilford Press, 49–65.
- Friedman, Jonathan C. (ed.) 2013: The Routledge History of Social Protest in Popular Music, New York: Routledge.
- Galchinsky, Michael 2016: The Modes of Human Rights Literature. Towards a Culture Without Borders, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Garcia, Maria E. P. 2011: Music and Human Rights. Towards a Paradoxical Approach, in: Laurence, Felicity / Urbain, Olivier (eds.): Music and Solidarity.

- Questions of Universality, Consciousness and Connection, New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers, 117–130.
- Garcia Quiñones, Martha (ed.) 2013: *Ubiquitous Musics. The Everyday Sounds That We Don't Always Notice*, Farnham: Ashgate.
- Garcia-Mispireta, Luis M. 2023: *Together, Somehow. Music, Affect, and Intimacy on the Dancefloor*, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Ghadery, Farnush 2022: *Beyond International Human Rights Law. Music and Song in Contextualised Struggles for Gender Equality*, *Transnational Legal Theory* 13(1): 31–58
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2023a: *Ode to What? The Human Rights Concerts at the United Nations since 1949, Deutscher Historikertag, Leipzig, Germany, 21 September*, unpublished.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2023b: *Survival, Desire, Empowerment, and the Absence of Words. Music in Postwar Transitions, 1800-1950*, in: Fléchet, Anaïs / Guerpin, Martin / Gumplowicz, Philippe / Kelly, Barbara L. (eds.): *Music in Postwar Transitions*, New York: Berghahn Books, 290–298.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2018: *Of Dreams and Desire. Diplomacy and Musical Nation Branding since the Early Modern Period*, in: Ramel, Frédéric / Prévost-Thomas, Cécile (eds.): *International Relations, Music and Diplomacy. Sounds and Voices on the International Stage*, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 259–274.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica (ed.) 2015: *Music and International History in the Twentieth Century*, Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2012: *The World Is Ready to Listen. Symphony Orchestras and the Global Performance of America*, *Diplomatic History* 36(1): 17–28.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2003: *Trumpeting Down the Walls of Jericho. The Politics of Art, Music and Emotion in German-American Relations, 1870-1920*, *Journal of Social History* 36(3): 585–613.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica 2000: *Shame on Us? Academics, Cultural Transfer, and the Cold War. A Critical Review*, *Diplomatic History* 24(3): 465–494.
- Gienow-Hecht, Jessica / Schumacher, Frank (eds.) 2003: *Culture and International History*, Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Gonçalves, Stéphanie 2023: *Choreographing Humanity in the 1960s. Maurice Béjart and the Symphonie No. 9*, in: Kunkel, Söhnke / Gienow-Hecht, Jessica C. E. / Jobs, Sebastian (eds.): *Visions of Humanity. Historical Cultural Practises since 1850*, New York: Berghahn Books, 209–227.
- Goodman, Steve 2010: *Sonic Warfare. Sound, Affect, and the Ecology of Fear*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Grant, M. J. / Möllemann, Rebecca / Morlandstö, Ingwill / Münz, Simone C. / Nuxoll, Cornelia 2010: *Music and Conflict. Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews* 35(2): 183–198.
- Gunner, Liz / Pennfold, Tom 2017: *Dissonances from the Global South. Song, Art and Performance in Cultures of Struggle*, *Social Dynamics* 43(2): 155–166.
- Hansen, Kai A. 2021: *Pop Masculinities. The Politis of Gender in Twenty-First Century Popular Music*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Harrison, Klisala 2020: *Music Downtown Eastside. Human Rights and Capability Development in Music of Urban Poverty*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hawkins, Stan 2002: *Settling the Pop-Score. Pop Texts and Identity Politics*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Herrero, Alejandro / Lutowicz, Analía 2009: *Memoria Sonora. Una nueva mirada para la historia argentina reciente*, in: Espinosa, Susana (ed.): *Escritos sobre udiovisión*, Buenos Aires: Ediciones UNLa.
- Hof, Tobias 2023: *„We Are the World“. Visions of Humanity in 1980s Charity Songs*, in: Kunkel, Söhnke / Gienow-Hecht, Jessica C. E. / Jobs, Sebastian (eds.): *Visions of Humanity. Historical Cultural Practises since 1850*, New York: Berghahn Books, 250–278.
- hooks, bell 1994: *Sexism and Misogyny. Who Takes the Rap?. Misogyny, Gangsta Rap, and The Piano*, *Z Magazine*: 26–29.
- Hubbs, Nadine 2014: *Rednecks, Queers, and Country Music*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Human Rights Research and Education Centre 2016: Human Rights and Indigeneity 2016-Exhibition, *Summer School on The Arts and Human Rights (AHR)*, Ottawa, Canada, 20–24 June.
- Illiano, Roberto / Sala, Massimiliano (eds.) 2010: *Music and Dictatorship in Europe and Latin America*, Turnhout: Brepols.
- Invernizzi-Arcetti, Carlo / Lacroix, Justine 2016: *Droits de l'homme et politique. Individualisme Étroit ou Nouvel Universalisme?*, Paris: Raison Publique, <https://raison-publique.fr/1291/> (accessed 27 December 2023).
- Jackson, Jeffrey H. / Pelkey, Stanley C. 2005: Introduction, in: Jackson, Jeffrey H. / Pelkey, Stanley C. (eds.): *Music and History. Bridging the Disciplines*, Jackson: University of Mississippi, vii–xviii.
- Janz, Tobias / Yang, Chien C. (eds.) 2019: *Decentering Musical Modernity. Perspectives on East Asian and European Music History*, Bielefeld: transcript.
- Jarman-Ivens, Freya 2011: *Queer Voices. Technologies, Vocalities, and the Musical Flaw*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jensen, Steven 2016: *The Making of International Human Rights. The 1960s, Decolonization, and the Reconstruction of Human Values*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jones, Joseph L. 2009: *Hegemonic Rhythms. The Role of Hip-Hop Music in 21st Century American Public Diplomacy*, Atlanta: Clark Atlanta University.
- Kassabian, Anahid 2013: *Ubiquitous Listening. Affect, Attention, and Distributed Subjectivity*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kelly, John D. 2003: US Power, After 9/11 and Before It. If Not an Empire, then What?, *Public Culture* 15(2): 347–369.
- Kennerley, David 2021: Music, Politics, and History. An Introduction, *Journal of British Studies* 60: 362–374.
- Keys, Barbara 2014: *Reclaiming American Virtue. The Human Rights Revolution of the 1970s*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Keys, Barbara (ed.) 2019: *The Ideals of Global Sport. From Peace to Human Rights*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Kirschlaeger, Peter G. 2021: A Human Right to Music. An Ethical Justification, *International Journal of Human Rights and Constitutional Studies*, 8(4): 284–297.
- Klenke, Kerstin 2019: *The Sound State of Uzbekistan. Popular Music and Politics in the Karimov Era*, New York: Routledge.
- Kunkel, Söhnke / Gienow-Hecht, Jessica C. E. / Jobs, Sebastian (eds.) 2023: *Visions of Humanity. Historical Cultural Practises since 1850*, New York: Berghahn Books.
- Lacey, Kate 2013: *Listening Publics. The Politics and Experience of Listening in the Media Age*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Laurence, Felicity / Urbain, Olivier (eds.) 2011: *Music and Solidarity. Questions of Universality, Consciousness and Connection*, New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Lefort, Claude 1979: *Droits de l'Homme et Politique*, Libre, no. 7, Paris: Payot.
- Levi, Ron 2018: The Musical Diplomacy of a Landless Ambassador. Hugh Masekela between Monterey '67 and Zaire '74, *Interventions* 20(7): 987–1002.
- Loeffler, James 2014: The Particularist Pursuit of American Universalism. The American Jewish Committee's 1944 "Declaration on Human Rights", *Journal of Contemporary History* 50(2): 274–295.
- Mamula, Stephen 2008: Starting from Nowhere? Popular Music in Cambodia after the Khmer Rouge, *Asian Music* 39: 26–41.
- Marx, Peter 2006: „Niemand zeugt für den Zeugen“. Die Bühne als Medium der Erinnerung. George Tabori, in: Descourvières, Benedikt / Marx, Peter / Rättig, Ralf (eds.): *Mein Drama findet nicht mehr statt. Deutschsprachige Theatertexte im 20. Jahrhundert*, Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 201–208.
- Marcus, Sara 2023: *Political Disappointment. A Cultural History from Reconstruction to the AIDS Crisis*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Marx, Peter 2018: *Hamlets Reise nach Deutschland. Eine Kulturgeschichte*, Berlin: Alexander-Verlag.

- McCann, Bryan 2004: Hello, Hello Brazil. Popular Music and the Making of Modern Brazil, Durham: Duke University Press.
- McClary, Susan 2013: Constructions of Subjectivity in Schubert's Music, in: Brett, Philip / Wood, Elizabeth / Thomas, Gary C. (eds.): Queering the Pitch. The New Gay and Lesbian Musicology, New York: Routledge, 205–233.
- McClary, Susan 1991: Feminine Endings. Music, Gender, and Sexuality, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Meintjes, Louise 2003: Sound of Africa! Making Zulu Music in a South African Studio, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Middleton, Richard 1990: Studying Popular Music, Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Mihr, Anja / Gibney, Mark (eds.) 2014: Music and Human Rights. The Sage Handbook of Human Rights, Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Mikkonen, Simo / Suutari, Pekka (eds.) 2016: Music, Art and Diplomacy. East-West Cultural Interactions and the Cold War, Farnham: Ashgate.
- Minsburg, Raúl / Lutowicz, Analia 2010: Memoria Sonora de los Centros Clandestinos de Detención, Tortura y Exterminio, Buenos Aires: Centro Cultural de la Memoria Haroldo Conti, [http://conti.derhuman.jus.gov.ar/2010/10/mesa-10/minsburg\\_lutowicz\\_mesa\\_10.pdf](http://conti.derhuman.jus.gov.ar/2010/10/mesa-10/minsburg_lutowicz_mesa_10.pdf) (accessed 11 August 2019).
- Mitchell, Gillian 2007: The North American Folk Music Revival. Nation and Identity in the United States and Canada, 1945–1980, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Morris, Leslie 2001: The Sound of Memory, *The German Quarterly* 74(4): 368–378.
- Moyn, Samuel 2010: The Last Utopia. Human Rights in History. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Mrozek, Bodo 2019: Jugend. Pop. Kultur. Eine transnationale Geschichte, Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Muller, Carol 2011: Musical Echoes. South African Women Thinking in Jazz, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Napolitano, Marcos 1998: A Invenção da Música Popular Brasileira. Um Campo de Reflexão para a História Social, *Latin American Music Review* 18(2): 92–105.
- O'Connell, Sam 2011: Which Music for Which Catastrophe? The Functions of Popular Music Twenty-first Century Benefit Concerts, in: Peddie, Ian (ed.): Popular Music and Human Rights. Volume I. British and American Music, Farnham: Ashgate, 101–113.
- Olaniyan, Tejumola 2004: Arrest the Music. Fela and his Rebel Art and Politics, Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Omojola, Olabode F. 2009: The Music of Fela Sowande. Encounters, African Identity, and Creative Ethnomusicology, Point Richmond: MRI Press.
- Onyebadi, Uche (ed.) 2022: Political Messaging in Music and Entertainment Spaces Across the Globe. Volume 1 + 2, Wilmington: Vernon Press.
- Pekacz, Jolanta 1994: Did Rock Smash the Wall? The Role of Rock in Political Transition, *Popular Music* 13(1): 41–49.
- Peddie, Ian (ed.) 2011: Popular Music and Human Rights, Vol. I. British and American Music; Vol. II. World Music, Farnham: Ashgate.
- Pells, Richard 2011: Modernist America. Art, Music, Movies, and the Globalization of American Culture, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Petersen, Alvin 2009: A Question of You Taking the Bread and Giving Me the Crust? Post-1994 Music Education in the Republic of South Africa as a Human Rights Issue, in: Gould, Elizabeth / Countryman, June / Morton, Charlene / Rose, Leslie Stewart (eds.): Exploring Social Justice. How Music Education Might Matter, Victoria Hill: Canadian Music Educators' Association, 152–165.
- Petrus, Stephen / Cohen, Ronald D. 2015: Folk City. New York and the American Folk Music Revival, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pickhan, Gertrud / Ritter, Rüdiger (eds.) 2011: Jazz Behind the Iron Curtain, Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Pieslak, Jonathan 2009: Sound Targets. American Soldiers and Music in the Iraq War, Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Plamper, Jan 2012: Geschichte und Gefühl. Grundlagen der Emotionsgeschichte, München: Siedler.

- Poiger, Uta G. 2000: *Jazz, Rock, and Rebels. Cold War Politics and American Culture in a Divided Germany*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Radano, Ronald / Olanyian, Tejumola (eds.) 2016: *Audible Empire*, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Ramel, Frédéric 2011: *La Musique Comme Matériau pour l'Internationaliste. Le Cas des Opéras*, in: Bardez, Jean-Michel/ Donégani, Jean-Marie/ Mahiet, Damien/ Moysan, Bruno (eds.): *De la Musique au Politique*, Paris: Delatour, 88–102.
- Ramel, Frédéric / Prévost-Thomas, Cécile (eds.) 2018: *International Relations, Music and Diplomacy. Sounds and Voices on the International Stage*, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rauscher, Anna / Ivanov, Ivan / Bofinger, Peter 2018: *No Bra Burning in the Orchestra Pit. Women's Rights Activism in the American Classical Music Scene, 1960–1990*, Berlin: Freie Universität, unpublished.
- Rauhut, Michael 1993: *Beat in der Grauzone. DDR-Rock 1964 bis 1972. Politik und Alltag*, Berlin: BasisDruck.
- Redmond, Shana L. 2013: *Anthem. Social Movements and the Sound of Solidarity in the African Diaspora*, New York: New York University Press.
- Reed, Daniel B. 2012: *Promises of the Chameleon. Reggae Artist Tiken Jah Fakoly's Intertextual Contestation of Power in the Côte D'Ivoire*, in: Charry, Eric (ed.) *Hip Hop Africa. New African Music in a Globalizing World*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 92–108.
- Rockwell, John 1997: *All American Music. Composition in the Late Twentieth Century*, Boston: Da Capo Press.
- Romero, Brenda M. / Asai, Susan M. / McDonald, David A. / Snyder, Andrew / Best, Katelyn E. 2023: *At the Crossroads of Music and Social Justice*, Bloomington: University of Indiana Press.
- Romola, Adeola / Gyan Nyarko, Michael / Okeowo, Adebayo / Viljoen, Frans 2019: *The Art of Human Rights. Commingling Art, Human Rights, and the Law in Africa*, Cham: Springer.
- Rose, Tricia 1994: *Black Noise. Rap Music and Black Culture in Contemporary America*, Hanover: University Press of New England.
- Rosenwein, Barbara H. 2010: *Problems and Methods in the History of Emotions, Passions in Context. Journal of the History and Philosophy of the Emotions* 1(1): 1–32.
- Ruggie, John G. 2013: *Just Business. Multinational Corporations and Human Rights*, London: W.W. Norton.
- Sanders, Rebecca 2016: *Norm Proxy War and Resistance Through Outsourcing. The Dynamics of Transnational Human Rights Contestation*, *Human Rights Review* 17(2): 165–191.
- Sasson, Tehila 2016: *Milking the Third World. Humanitarianism, Capitalism, and the Moral Economy of the Nestlé Boycott*, *American Historical Review* 121(4): 1196–1224.
- Schmelz, Peter J. 2009: *Such Freedom, If Only Musical. Unofficial Soviet Music During the Thaw*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Schmidt-Rost, Christian 2015: *Jazz in der DDR und Polen. Geschichte eines transkulturellen Transfers*, Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Schiller, Melanie 2018: *Soundtracking Germany. Popular Music and National Identity*, London: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Schneider, Cynthia P. 2005: *Culture Communicates. US Diplomacy That Works*, in: Melissen, Jan (eds.): *The New Public Diplomacy. Soft Power in International Relations*, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 147–168.
- Schneider, Cynthia P. 2006: *Cultural Diplomacy. Hard to Define, But You'd Know it if You Saw it*, *Brown Journal of World Affairs* XIII(1): 191–203.
- Selth, Andrew 2017: *Burma, Kipling and Western Music. The Riff from Mandalay*, New York: Routledge.
- Senghaas, Dieter 2013: *Frieden hören. Musik, Klang und Töne in der Friedenspädagogik*, Frankfurt am Main: Wochenschau Verlag.
- Sharafutdinova, Gulnaz 2014: *The Pussy Riot Affair and Putin's Démarche from Sovereign Democracy to Sovereign Morality*, *The Journal of Nationalism and Ethnicity* 42(4): 615–621.
- Shepherd, John 1991: *Music as Social Text*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Slaughter, Joseph R. 2006: *Human Rights, Inc. The World Novel, Narrative Form, and International Law*, New York: Fordham University Press.
- Small, Christopher 2001: Why doesn't the Whole World Love Chamber Music, *American Music* 19(3): 340–359.
- Smith, Jacob 2008: *Vocal Tracks. Performance and Sound Media*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Steingo, Gavin 2016: *Kwaito's Promise. Music and the Aesthetics of Freedom in South Africa*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sterne, Jonathan 2003: *The Audible Past. Cultural Origins of Sound Reproduction*, Durham: Duke University Press.
- Street, John 2012: *Music and Politics*, Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Street, John / Hague, Seth / Savigny, Heather 2008: Playing to the Crowd. The Role of Music and Musicians in Political Participation, *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations* 10(2): 269–285.
- Stoever, Jennifer L. 2016: *The Sonic Color Line. Race & the Cultural Politics of Listening*, New York: New York University Press.
- Stokes, Martin 1992: *The Arabesk Debate. Music and Musicians in Modern Turkey*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Tagg, Philip 2000 [1979]: *Kojak. 50 Seconds of Television Music. Toward the Analysis of Affect in Popular Music*, New York: Mass Media Music Scholars' Press.
- Taruskin, Richard 2009: *The Danger of Music and Other Anti-Utopian Essays*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Taylor, Timothy 1997: *Global Pop. World Music, World Markets*, London: Routledge.
- Thompson, Emily 2002: *The Soundscape of Modernity. Architectural Acoustics and the Culture of Listening in America, 1900–1933*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Thompson, Marie / Biddle, Ian (eds.) 2013: *Sound, Music, Affect. Theorizing Sonic Experience*, London: Bloomsbury Press.
- Thompson, Marie 2017: *Beyond Unwanted Sound. Noise, Affect and Aesthetic Moralism*, New York: Bloomsbury Press.
- Trümpi, Fritz 2016: *The Political Orchestra. The Vienna and Berlin Philharmonics During the Third Reich*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Tsipursky Gleb 2016: *Socialist Fun. Youth, Consumption, and State-Sponsored Popular Culture in the Soviet Union 1945–1970*, Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Turner, Elizabeth 2022: *The Discourse of Protest, Resistance and Social Commentary in Reggae Music*, New York: Routledge.
- Von Eschen, Penny 2004: *Satchmo Blows Up the World. Jazz Ambassadors Play the Cold War*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Vuletic, Dean 2018: *Postwar Europe and the Eurovision Song Contest*, London: Bloomsbury.
- Walling, Carrie B. 2015: Human Rights Norms, State Sovereignty and Humanitarian Intervention, *Human Rights Quarterly* 37(2): 383–413.
- Weinstein, Deena 1989: The Amnesty International Tour. Transnationalism As Cultural Commodity, *Public Culture* 1(2): 60–65.
- Wettstein, Florian 2009: *Multinational Corporations and Global Justice. Human Rights Obligations of a Quasi-Governmental Institution*, Palo Alto: Stanford University Press.
- White, Bob (ed.) 2011: *Music and Globalization. Critical Encounters*, Bloomington: University of Indiana Press.
- Whiteley, Sheila 2006: Popular Music and the Dynamics of Desire, in: Whiteley, Sheila / Rycenga, Jennifer (eds.): *Queering the Popular Pitch*, 249–261.
- Whiteley, Sheila 1992: *The Space Between the Notes. Rock and the Counterculture*, New York: Routledge.
- Wiseman-Trouse, Nathan 2008: *Performing Class in British Popular Music*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

## RECENT SCRIPTS WORKING PAPERS

**No. 15** 2022 Rona Geffen

The Timing of Lives: The Role of Standard Employment in Income Mobility and Co-residential Unions in Early Adulthood

**No. 16** 2022 Jan-Werner Müller

Liberal Democracy's Critical Infrastructure. How to Think about Intermediary Powers

**No. 17** 2022 Johannes Petry

Global Financial Reallocation towards China: Implications for the Liberal Financial Script

**No. 18** 2022 Jan-Werner Müller

Still the "Fourth Power"? Rethinking the Press in Liberal Democracies

**No. 19** 2022 Albert Cullell Cano

The Chinese Peacebuilding Script: A Pragmatic Contestation of the Liberal International Order

**No. 20** 2022 Silviya Lechner

The Responsibility of Global Governance Institutions: Towards a Kantian Conception of the Current Liberal Order

**No. 21** 2022 Sébastien Tremblay

Homosynchronism and the Temporal-Memory Border: Framing Racialized Bodies, Time, and Mobility in German Queer Printed Media

**No. 22** 2022 Jürgen Gerhards, Lukas Antoine, and Rasmus Ollroge

The Liberal Script on Military Humanitarian Intervention and How Citizens around the World Support It. Results from a Comparative Survey in 24 Countries

**No. 23** 2023 Anne Menzel

Situating Liberal Rationality. Unacknowledged Commitments in Progressive Knowledge Production and Policymaking

**No. 24** 2023 Georg Simmerl

Liberalism and Critique. Why It Is Unviable to Analytically Position a Liberal Script in Opposition to its Contestations

**No. 25** 2023 Bastiaan Bouwman

Postwar Displacement, Liberalism, and the Genesis of the International Refugee Regime

**No. 26** 2023 Tanja A. Börzel and Thomas Risse

The Liberal Script between Individual and Collective Self-Determination

**No. 27** 2023 Agnes Blome and Miriam Hartlapp

Contesting Unequal Group Representation. Can Deliberative Participatory Fora Cure Representation Gaps in the Legislature

**No. 28** 2023 Jürgen Gerhards, Lukas Antoine, and Rasmus Ollroge

The Liberal Script on State Sovereignty and the Admission of Immigrants. Do Citizens Distinguish between Voluntary and Forced Immigrants?

**No. 29** 2023 Jessica Kim, Yasemin Nuhoglu Soysal, Hector Cebolla Boado, and Laura Schimmöller

Inhibiting or Contributing? How Global Liberal Forces Impact Climate Change Scepticism

**No. 30** 2023 Daniel Wajner

Delegitimizing International Institutions. How Global Populism Challenges the Liberal International Order

**No. 31** 2023 Steven Livingston

The Nature of Beliefs. An Exploration of Cognitive Science and Sociological Approaches to the Crisis of Democracy

**No. 32** 2023 Jiwei Ci

States, Scripts, and Democratisation

**No. 33** 2023 Heiko Giebler, Lukas Antoine, Rasmus Ollroge, Jürgen Gerhards, Michael Zürn, Johannes Giesecke, and Macartan Humphreys

The "Public Attitudes towards the Liberal Script" (PALS) Survey. Conceptual Framework, Implementation, and Data

**No. 34** 2023 Tanja A. Börzel, Valentin Krüsmann, Julia Langbein, and Lunting Wu

Colliding Scripts in Asia? Comparing China's Belt and Road Initiative and the EU Global Gateway Strategy

**No. 35** 2024 Alexander Kalgin

How Economics and Sociology Contest the Meaning of "Social Inequality". The Russian Case

**No. 36** 2024 Arie M. Kacowicz

Before and After the Liberal International Order. Overlapping and Diverging Trajectories of the International Society and the Liberal Order

**No. 37** 2024 Cristiane de Andrade Lucena Carneiro and Mariane Monteiro da Costa

Institutions of the Inter-American Human Rights System and Their Role in Shaping the Liberal International Order

**No. 38** 2024 Adriana Cuppuleri

20 Years of LIO Contestation(s). A Computational Text Analysis of Russia's Foreign Policy Discourse (2003–2023)

**No. 39** 2024 Jessica Gienow-Hecht and Steffen Just

Music and Human Rights Since World War II



All SCRIPTS Working Papers are available on the SCRIPTS website at [www.scripts-berlin.eu](http://www.scripts-berlin.eu) and can be ordered in print via email to [office@scripts-berlin.eu](mailto:office@scripts-berlin.eu)



Hosted by:



In Cooperation with:



The Cluster of Excellence  
“Contestations of the Liberal Script (SCRIPTS)”  
is funded by:

